

Rochester, NY 14603

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/765,712 01/19/2001 Randy K. Young 201009/131 2864 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/22/2006 Gunnar G. Leinberg AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N NIXON PEABODY LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Clinton Square P.O. Box 31051 2611

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SY
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/765,712	YOUNG, RANDY K.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Freshteh N. Aghdam	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  ply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 March 2006.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,56-60 and 65-98</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,56-60 and 65-98</u> is/are rejec	ted.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) Objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum		a dia adia a Na
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu</li> </ol>	·	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
Attachment(s)	<b></b> .	
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<del>_</del> ·

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

Examiner of record has changed to Freshteh N Aghdam. After further search and consideration to claims 1-21, 56-60, and 65-98, allowability has been withdrawn.

### Claim Objections

Claims 15 and 79 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to claims 15 and 79, the term "imbedding" should be replaced by "embedding".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 12, 15-16, 18, 68-70, 72-73, 77, 79-80, 92, 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsujimoto (US 5,859,870).

As to claims 1,12, 69, 92, Tsujimoto discloses a modulator/demodulator system comprising a transmission system, which applies one of a plurality of time scales (Fig. 3a, means 303) and one of a plurality of time delays (Fig. 3a, means 101) to one of a

Art Unit: 2611

pair of substantially matched base signals (Fig. 3a, Data Symbol Sequence an), combines the time scaled and time delayed base signal with the other one of the pair of base signals to form a doublet (Fig. 3a, means 104), and transmits the doublet signal (Fig. 3a, means 305-306); and a receiving system which receives the doublet and extracts information from the doublet based on the one of the plurality of time scales and the one of the plurality of time delays which were applied (Fig. 3b, means 110 and 312).

As to claims 2, 70, Tsujimoto discloses generating a pair of substantially matched base signals (Fig. 3a); an encoding system, which modulates the one of the plurality of time scales and the one of the plurality of time delays onto the one of the pair of substantially matched base signals (Fig. 3a, means 101 and 303); a combiner which combines the time scaled and the time delayed base signal with the other one of the pair of base signals to form the doublet (Fig. 3a, means 104); and a transmitter which transmits the doublet (Fig. 3a, means 305-306).

As to claims 5, 72, Tsujimoto discloses that the at least one of the pair of substantially matched base signals contains the information and the receiving system extracts the information from the at least one of the pair of substantially matched base signals in the doublet (Fig. 3a and 3b).

As to claims 6, 16, 73, 80, 95, Tsujimoto discloses that the combiner is an adder or a subtractor (Fig. 3a, means 104).

As to claims 8 and 18, Tsujimoto discloses that the information comprises a message embedded by the transmission system (Fig. 3a).

As to claim 15, 79, Tsujimoto discloses embedding information in one of the pair of substantially matched base signals in the doublet (Fig. 3a).

As to claim 68, Tsujimoto discloses a receiving method comprising receiving a doublet comprising a combined pair of substantially matched base signals; and extracting information from one of the pair of substantially matched base signals in the doublet based on one of a plurality of time scales which was applied to the doublet (Fig. 3a-3b and 6a-6b).

As to claim 77, Tsujimoto discloses a method for communicating comprising applying one of a plurality of time delays to one of a pair of substantially matched base signals to embed communication information; combining the time scaled and time delayed base signals with the other one of the pair of base signals to form a doublet (Fig. 3a and 6a); transmitting the doublet into the environment; receiving the doublet; and extracting the communication information from the doublet based on the one of the plurality of time scales and on the one of the plurality of time delays which were applied (112 and 619).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 3, 10, 14, 20, 57-59, 62, 66, 71, 75, 78, 82, 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimoto.

As to claims 3,14, 71, 78, Tsujimoto discloses combining a plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different (Fig. 6a and 6b). Tsujimoto is not explicit about the transmission system has a plurality of doublets with an independent one of a plurality of the time scales and independent one of the plurality of time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form and transmit a composite signal. However, one of ordinary skill in art would recognize that generating a plurality of doublets with an independent one of a plurality of the time scales and independent one of the plurality of time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form the composite signal in terms of functionality is the same as combining the plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different as it is demonstrated in figure 6a. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the same composite signal utilizing Tsujimoto's teaching in order to reduce the number of combiners in the device.

As to claims 57-58, Tsujimoto discloses a receiver which receives a doublet (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 307 and 609); a processing system which extracts the information

Art Unit: 2611

from the doublet based on one of a plurality of time scales which was applied to the doublet prior to transmission (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 309, 109, 312, 112, 611, 612, 617, and 619); the receiving system further comprises a device that time scales a received doublet signal by the time scale that was applied by the transmission system to form a time scaled version of the received signal prior to transmission (i.e. despreading the received signal; Fig. 3b; Col. 4, Lines 28-37). Tsujimoto is not explicit about the receiver receives a plurality of the doublets in a composite signal and the processing system extracts the information from the composite signal based on the one of the plurality of time scales which was applied to each of the doublets. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that generating a plurality of doublets with a plurality of the time scales and time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form the composite signal to be received by the receiving system, in terms of functionality, is the same as combining the plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different as it is demonstrated in figure 6a-6b and the receiving system extracts the information from the composite signal based on the one of the plurality of time scales and time delays which was applied to each of the signals. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the same composite signal utilizing Tsujimoto's teaching in order to reduce the number of combiners in the device (i.e. reducing the complexity of the device).

Art Unit: 2611

As to claim 62, One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that generating a plurality of doublets with a plurality of the time scales and time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form the composite signal to be received by the receiving system, in terms of functionality, is the same as combining the plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different as it is shown in figure (Tsujimoto; Fig. 6a-6b) and the receiving system extracts the information from the composite signal based on the one of the plurality of time scales and time delays which was applied to each of the signals. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the same composite signal utilizing Tsujimoto's teaching in order to reduce the number of combiners in the device (i.e. reducing the complexity of the device).

As to claims 10, 20, 75, 82, 97, Tsujimoto discloses a time scaling means which applies at least one of the plurality of time scales to each of the received segments to form a time scaled received signal (Fig. 6b, means 611-612); a time delaying means which applies at least one of the plurality of time delays to the received signal (Fig. 6b, means 613-615); a multiplier which multiplies each of the time scaled signal with the time delayed signal to form a multiplied signal (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 617); an integrator which integrates the multiplied signals across time to form detection signals (Fig. 3b and 6b); and a processing system which compares the detection signals at different ones of the plurality of time scales and different ones of the plurality of time

Art Unit: 2611

delays over time to determine the applied one of the plurality of time scales and time delays to extract the information from the detection signal (Fig. 6b, means 612, 617, and 619). Tsujimoto is not explicit about forming received segments from the received composite signal (i.e. segmenting the received composite signal into blocks). However, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a received signal includes different blocks and/ or symbols such as preamble and/ or mid-amble, data or payload, signaling information, and so on for improving information transmission in communication systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transmit and receive a signal that comprises different blocks and/ or symbols for the reason stated above.

As to claim 59, Tsujimoto discloses a receiver which receives a doublet (i.e. through antenna(s); Fig. 3b and 6b); a processing system which extracts the information from the doublet based on one of a plurality of time scales which was applied top the doublet prior to transmission (Fig. 3b and 6b, means Fig. 3b and 6b, means 309, 109, 312, 112, 611, 612, 617, and 619); wherein the receiver further comprises a time scaling means which applies at least one of the plurality of time scales to each of the received segments to form a time scaled received signal (Fig. 6b, means 611-612); a time delaying means which applies at least one of the plurality of time delays to the received signal (Fig. 6b, means 613-615); a multiplier which multiplies each of the time scaled signal with the time delayed signal to form a multiplied signal (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 617); an integrator which integrates the multiplied signals across time to form detection signals (Fig. 3b and 6b); and a processing system which compares the

Art Unit: 2611

detection signals at different ones of the plurality of time scales and different ones of the plurality of time delays over time to determine the applied one of the plurality of time scales and time delays to extract the information from the detection signal (Fig. 6b, means 612, 617, and 619). Tsujimoto is not explicit about forming received segments from the received composite signal (i.e. segmenting the received composite signal into blocks). However, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a received signal includes different blocks and/ or symbols such as preamble and/ or mid-amble, data or payload, signaling information, and so on for improving information transmission in communication systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transmit and receive a signal that comprises different blocks and/ or symbols for the reason stated above.

As to claim 66, Tsujimoto discloses a receiver which receives a doublet (i.e. through antenna(s); Fig. 3b and 6b); a processing system which extracts the information from the doublet based on one of a plurality of time scales which was applied top the doublet prior to transmission (Fig. 3b and 6b, means Fig. 3b and 6b, means 309, 109, 312, 112, 611, 612, 617, and 619); wherein the receiver further comprises a time scaling means which applies at least one of the plurality of time scales to each of the received segments to form a time scaled received signal (Fig. 6b, means 611-612); a time delaying means which applies at least one of the plurality of time delays to the received signal (Fig. 6b, means 613-615); a multiplier which multiplies each of the time scaled signal with the time delayed signal to form a multiplied signal (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 617); an integrator which integrates the multiplied signals across time to form

Art Unit: 2611

detection signals (Fig. 3b and 6b); and processing the detection signals at different ones of the plurality of time scales and different ones of the plurality of time delays over time to determine the applied one of the plurality of time scales and time delays to extract the information from the detection signal (Fig. 6b, means 612, 617, and 619). Tsujimoto is not explicit about forming received segments from the received composite signal (i.e. segmenting the received composite signal into blocks). However, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a received signal includes different blocks and/ or symbols such as preamble and/ or mid-amble, data or payload, signaling information, and so on for improving information transmission in communication systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transmit and receive a signal that comprises different blocks and/ or symbols for the reason stated above.

Claims 4,13, 93-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimoto, further in view of Proctor, Jr. et al (US 5,687,196) and Schilling (US 2005/0008065).

As to claims 4,13, 93, Tsujimoto discloses that the receiving system further comprises a device that time scales a received signal by the time scale that was applied by the transmission system to form a time scaled version of the received signal (i.e. despreading the received signal; Fig. 3b; Col. 4, Lines 28-37), a correlator that correlates the received signal (Fig. 6b, reception data signal A; Col. 4, Lines 37-60) with the time scaled version of the received signal to form a time delay correlation signal with

Art Unit: 2611

peaks; a detector that detects the peaks of this time delay offset correlation signal (i.e. impulse response characteristics of transmission paths; Col. 4, Lines 61-67; Col. 5, Lines 1-67); and an estimator (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 112 and 619) that uses the time delay offset locations of the peaks to estimate the transmitted signals. Tsujimoto is not explicit about Tsujimoto is not explicit about estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals by utilizing the time delay locations of the peaks; the transmission system further comprises a pair of synchronized and spatially separated radiating elements, one radiating element radiates one of the substantially matched base signals and the other radiating element radiates the time scaled and time delayed base signal. Proctor discloses estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals by utilizing the time delay locations of the peaks (Col. 3, Lines 39-67; Col. 4, Lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Proctor with Tsujimoto in order to estimate the transmitted information in the receiver and enhancing the information transmission by estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals. Schilling discloses a communication system, wherein the transmission system further comprises a pair of synchronized and spatially separated radiating elements, one radiating element radiates one of the substantially matched base signals and the other radiating element radiates the time scaled and time delayed base signal (Fig. 4, means TA1 and TA2; Par. 33 and 45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Schilling with Tsujimoto and Proctor in order to improve signal transmission reliability by utilizing two spatially separated antennas (Par. 2).

Art Unit: 2611

As to claim 94, Tsujimoto discloses combining a plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different (Fig. 6a and 6b). Tsujimoto is not explicit about the transmission system has a plurality of doublets with an independent one of a plurality of the time scales and independent one of the plurality of time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form and transmit a composite signal. However, one of ordinary skill in art would recognize that generating a plurality of doublets with an independent one of a plurality of the time scales and independent one of the plurality of time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form the composite signal in terms of functionality is the same as combining the plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different as it is demonstrated in figure 6a. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the same composite signal utilizing Tsujimoto's teaching in order to reduce the number of combiners in the device.

Claims 7, 11, 17, 21, 60, 67, 74, 76, 81, 83, 96, 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimoto, and further in view of Tsui et al (US 6,385,237).

Art Unit: 2611

As to claims 7,11, 17, 21, 74, 76, 81, 83, 96, and 98, Tsujimoto discloses an equalizer to evenly distribute the signal energy across a signal (Fig. 3b and 6b). Tsujimoto is not explicit about a temporal equalizer, which evenly distributes the signal energy across the duration of a signal; and a spectral equalizer that evenly distributes the signal energy across the spectrum of a signal. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the temporal and spectral equalizers are well known in the art and employed to evenly distribute the signal energy as it is evidenced by Tsui (Col. 9, Lines 12-36, Col. 11, Lines 53-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tsui with Tsujimoto for the reason stated above.

As to claims 60, 67, Tsujimoto discloses a receiver which receives a doublet (i.e. through antenna(s); Fig. 3b and 6b); a processing system which extracts the information from the doublet based on one of a plurality of time scales which was applied to the doublet prior to transmission (Fig. 3b and 6b, means Fig. 3b and 6b, means 309, 109, 312, 112, 611, 612, 617, and 619); wherein the receiver further comprises an equalizer to evenly distributes the signal energy across a signal (Fig. 3b and 6b). Tsujimoto is not explicit about a temporal equalizer, which evenly distributes the signal energy across the duration of a signal; and a spectral equalizer that evenly distributes the signal energy across the temporal and spectral equalizers are well known in the art and employed to evenly distribute the signal energy as it is evidenced by Tsui (Col. 9, Lines 12-36; Col.

Art Unit: 2611

11, Lines 53-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tsui with Tsujimoto for the reason stated above.

Claims 9, 19, 65, 84-86, 88, 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimoto, and further in view of the instant application's disclosed prior art.

As to claims 9, 19, Tsujimoto teach all the subject matter claimed in claim 1, except for the information comprises imaging data embedded by an environment in which the doublet was transmitted. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the information includes imaging data embedded by the environment onto the modulated transmit signal as it is evidenced by the instant application's disclosed prior art (Pg. 1, Lines 8-13) for the purpose of enhancing information communication between a transmitter and a receiver device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of the instant application's disclosed prior art with Tsujimoto for the reason stated above.

As to claim 65, Tsujimoto discloses a receiving method comprising receiving a doublet (Fig. 3b and 6b, antenna means); and extracting information from the doublet based on one of a plurality of time scales, which was applied to the doublet (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 309, 109, and 611-612). Tsujimoto is not explicit about the information comprises imaging data embedded by an environment in which the doublet was transmitted. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the information includes imaging data embedded by the environment onto the modulated transmit signal as it is

Art Unit: 2611

evidenced by the instant application's disclosed prior art (Pg. 1, Lines 8-13) for the purpose of enhancing information communication between a transmitter and a receiver device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of the instant application's disclosed prior art with Tsujimoto for the reason stated above.

As to claim 84, Tsujimoto discloses a modulator/demodulator system comprising a transmission system, which applies one of a plurality of time scales (Fig. 3a, means 303) and one of a plurality of time delays (Fig. 3a, means 101) to one of a pair of substantially matched base signals (Fig. 3a, Data Symbol Sequence an), combines the time scaled and time delayed base signal with the other one of the pair of base signals to form a doublet (Fig. 3a, means 104), and transmits the doublet signal (Fig. 3a, means 305-306); and a receiving system which receives the doublet and extracts information from the doublet based on the one of the plurality of time scales and the one of the plurality of time delays which were applied (Fig. 3b, means 110 and 312). Tsujimoto is not explicit about the information comprises imaging data embedded by an environment in which the doublet was transmitted. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the information includes imaging data embedded by the environment onto the modulated transmit signal as it is evidenced by the instant application's disclosed prior art (Pg. 1, Lines 8-13) for the purpose of enhancing information communication between a transmitter and a receiver device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of the instant application's disclosed prior art with Tsujimoto for the reason stated above.

Art Unit: 2611

As to claim 85, Tsujimoto discloses generating a pair of substantially matched base signals (Fig. 3a); an encoding system, which modulates the one of the plurality of time scales and the one of the plurality of time delays onto the one of the pair of substantially matched base signals (Fig. 3a, means 101 and 303); a combiner which combines the time scaled and the time delayed base signal with the other one of the pair of base signals to form the doublet (Fig. 3a, means 104); and a transmitter which transmits the doublet (Fig. 3a, means 305-306).

As to claim 86, Tsujimoto discloses combining a plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different (Fig. 6a and 6b). Tsujimoto is not explicit about the transmission system has a plurality of doublets with an independent one of a plurality of the time scales and independent one of the plurality of time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form and transmit a composite signal. However, one of ordinary skill in art would recognize that generating a plurality of doublets with an independent one of a plurality of the time scales and independent one of the plurality of time delays applied to each of the doublets, the transmission system combines all of the doublets to form the composite signal in terms of functionality is the same as combining the plurality of time scaled and time delayed substantially matched base signals with the other one of the base signals to obtain the composite signal to be transmitted to the receiving system, wherein the plurality of time scales and time delays are different as it is demonstrated in

Art Unit: 2611

figure 6a. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the same composite signal utilizing Tsujimoto's teaching in order to reduce the number of combiners in the device.

As to claim 88, Tsujimoto discloses that the combiner is an adder or a subtractor (Fig. 3a, means 104).

As to claim 90, Tsujimoto discloses a time scaling means which applies at least one of the plurality of time scales to each of the received segments to form a time scaled received signal (Fig. 6b, means 611-612); a time delaying means which applies at least one of the plurality of time delays to the received signal (Fig. 6b, means 613-615); a multiplier which multiplies each of the time scaled signal with the time delayed signal to form a multiplied signal (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 617); an integrator which integrates the multiplied signals across time to form detection signals (Fig. 3b and 6b); and a processing system which compares the detection signals at different ones of the plurality of time scales and different ones of the plurality of time delays over time to determine the applied one of the plurality of time scales and time delays to extract the information from the detection signal (Fig. 6b, means 612, 617, and 619). Tsujimoto is not explicit about forming received segments from the received composite signal (i.e. segmenting the received composite signal into blocks). However, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a received signal includes different blocks and/ or symbols such as preamble and/ or mid-amble, data or payload, signaling information, and so on for improving information transmission in communication systems. Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 2611

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transmit and receive a signal that comprises different blocks and/ or symbols for the reason stated above.

Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimoto, and further in view of Proctor, Jr. et al (US 5,687,196).

As to claim 56, Tsujimoto discloses a receiver which receives a doublet (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 307 and 609); a processing system which extracts the information from the doublet based on one of a plurality of time scales which was applied to the doublet prior to transmission (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 309, 109, 312, 112, 611, 612, 617, and 619); the receiving system further comprises a device that time scales a received doublet signal by the time scale that was applied by the transmission system to form a time scaled version of the received signal (i.e. despreading the received signal; Fig. 3b; Col. 4, Lines 28-37), a correlator that correlates the received signal (Fig. 6b, reception data signal A; Col. 4, Lines 37-60) with the time scaled version of the received signal to form a time delay correlation signal with peaks; a detector that detects the peaks of this time delay offset correlation signal (i.e. impulse response characteristics of transmission paths; Col. 4, Lines 61-67; Col. 5, Lines 1-67); and an estimator (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 112 and 619) that uses the time delay offset locations of the peaks to the transmitted signals. Tsujimoto is not explicit about estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals by utilizing the time delay locations of the peaks. Proctor discloses estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals by utilizing the time delay locations of the peaks (Col. 3, Lines 39-67; Col. 4, Lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have

Art Unit: 2611

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Proctor with Tsujimoto in order to estimate the transmitted information in the receiver and enhancing the information transmission by estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals.

Claim 87 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimoto and the instant application's disclosed prior art, further in view of Proctor, Jr. et al (US 5,687,196) and Schilling (US 2005/0008065).

As to claim 87, Tsujimoto discloses that the receiving system further comprises a device that time scales a received signal by the time scale that was applied by the transmission system to form a time scaled version of the received signal (i.e. despreading the received signal; Fig. 3b; Col. 4, Lines 28-37), a correlator that correlates the received signal (Fig. 6b, reception data signal A; Col. 4, Lines 37-60) with the time scaled version of the received signal to form a time delay correlation signal with peaks; a detector that detects the peaks of this time delay offset correlation signal (i.e. impulse response characteristics of transmission paths; Col. 4, Lines 61-67; Col. 5, Lines 1-67); and an estimator (Fig. 3b and 6b, means 112 and 619) that uses the time delay offset locations of the peaks to estimate the transmitted signals. Tsujimoto is not explicit about Tsujimoto is not explicit about estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals by utilizing the time delay locations of the peaks; the transmission system further comprises a pair of synchronized and spatially separated radiating elements, one radiating element radiates one of the substantially matched base signals

Art Unit: 2611

and the other radiating element radiates the time scaled and time delayed base signal. Proctor discloses estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals by utilizing the time delay locations of the peaks (Col. 3, Lines 39-67; Col. 4, Lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Proctor with Tsujimoto in order to estimate the transmitted information in the receiver and enhancing the information transmission by estimating the angle of arrival of each of the received signals. Schilling discloses a communication system, wherein the transmission system further comprises a pair of synchronized and spatially separated radiating elements, one radiating element radiates one of the substantially matched base signals and the other radiating element radiates the time scaled and time delayed base signal (Fig. 4, means TA1 and TA2; Par. 33 and 45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Schilling with Tsujimoto and Proctor in order to improve signal transmission reliability by utilizing two spatially separated antennas (Par. 2).

Claim 89, 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimoto, and the instant application's disclosed prior art, further in view of Tsui et al (US 6,385,237).

As to claims 89, 91, Tsujimoto discloses an equalizer to evenly distribute the signal energy across a signal (Fig. 3b and 6b). Tsujimoto is not explicit about a temporal equalizer, which evenly distributes the signal energy across the duration of a signal; and a spectral equalizer that evenly distributes the signal energy across the spectrum of a

Art Unit: 2611

signal. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the temporal and spectral equalizers are well known in the art and employed to evenly distribute the signal energy as it is evidenced by Tsui (Col. 9, Lines 12-36; Col. 11, Lines 53-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tsui with Tsujimoto for the reason stated above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freshteh N. Aghdam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,712 Page 22

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Freshteh Aghdam November 15, 2006

KEVIN BURD
PRIMARY EXAMINER